Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Applied Mathematics Letters 21 (2008) 880
Applied Mathematics Letters
www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

Erratum

Erratum to "On the existence of periodic solutions for a class of generalized forced Liénard equations" [Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (3) (2007) 248–254]

M.R. Pournaki[a,b,*], A. Razani[c,b]

[a] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11155-9415, Tehran, Iran*
[b] *School of Mathematics, Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran*
[c] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, P.O. Box 34194-288, Qazvin, Iran*

Received 13 September 2007; accepted 13 September 2007

The authors would like to thank Professor Alessandro Fonda for pointing out the error in the statement of the Main Theorem. On pages 1 and 2 "T-periodic real function" should read "real function". Also the statement of the Main Theorem should read as follows:

Main Theorem. *Suppose* f, k, *and* g *are real functions on* $\mathbb{R}$ *which are locally Lipschitz and* p *is a nonconstant, continuous, real function on* $[0, T]$, $T > 0$. *Also suppose all solutions of the initial value problem* (1.1) *can be extended to* $[0, T]$. *If there exist real numbers* a_1 *and* a_2 *for which* $g(a_1) \leq p(t) \leq g(a_2)$ *holds for each* $0 \leq t \leq T$, *then there exists* T_0, $0 < T_0 < T$, *such that if* p *is* T_0*-periodic, Eq.* (1.1) *has at least one* T_0*-periodic solution.*

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.aml.2006.06.004.
* Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11155-9415, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail addresses: pournaki@ipm.ir (M.R. Pournaki), razani@ikiu.ac.ir (A. Razani).

0893-9659/$ - see front matter
doi:10.1016/j.aml.2007.09.012