

ON THE STANLEY DEPTH OF WEAKLY POLYMATROIDAL IDEALS

M. R. POURNAKI, S. A. SEYED FAKHARI, AND S. YASSEMI

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{K} be a field and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over the field \mathbb{K} . In this paper, it is shown that Stanley's conjecture holds for I and S/I if I is a product of monomial prime ideals or I is a high enough power of a polymatroidal or a stable ideal generated in a single degree.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be a field and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over the field \mathbb{K} . Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. Let $u \in M$ be a homogeneous element and $Z \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. The \mathbb{K} -subspace $u\mathbb{K}[Z]$ generated by all elements uv with $v \in \mathbb{K}[Z]$ is called a *Stanley space* of dimension $|Z|$ if it is a free $\mathbb{K}[Z]$ -module. Here, as usual, $|Z|$ denotes the number of elements of Z . A decomposition \mathcal{D} of M as a finite direct sum of Stanley spaces is called a *Stanley decomposition* of M . The minimum dimension of a Stanley space in \mathcal{D} is called *Stanley depth* of \mathcal{D} and is denoted by $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D})$. The quantity

$$\text{sdepth}(M) := \max \{ \text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M \}$$

is called *Stanley depth* of M . Stanley [10] conjectured that

$$\text{depth}(M) \leq \text{sdepth}(M)$$

for all \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -modules M . For a reader friendly introduction to the Stanley depth, we refer the reader to [8].

Let I be a monomial ideal of S whose Rees algebra is $\mathcal{R}(I)$ and let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the graded maximal ideal of S . Then the \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(I)$ is called the *fibre ring*, and its Krull dimension is called the *analytic spread* of I and is denoted by $\ell(I)$. This invariant is a measure for the growth of the number of generators of the powers of I . Indeed, for $k \gg 0$, the Hilbert function $H(\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{R}(I), \mathbb{K}, k) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(I^k/\mathfrak{m}I^k)$, which counts the number of generators of the powers of I , is a polynomial function of degree $\ell(I) - 1$.

Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of S which is generated in a single degree and $\ell(I)$ its analytic spread. In this paper, we show that $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq n - \ell(I) + 1$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 13C15, 05E99; Secondary: 13C13.

Key words and phrases. Monomial ideal, Stanley depth, Stanley conjecture, Polymatroidal ideal.

The research of M. R. Pournaki and S. Yassemi was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 91130115 and No. 91130214).

and $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$ (see Theorem 2.5) and we conclude that if I is a product of monomial prime ideals of S , then I and S/I satisfy Stanley's conjecture. We also show that if either I is a polymatroidal ideal or it is a stable ideal of S which is generated in a single degree, then I^k and S/I^k satisfy Stanley's conjecture for $k \gg 0$ (see Corollaries 2.7 and 2.11).

2. THE RESULTS

In this paper, we deal with polymatroidal ideals. They were introduced in [4] and represent a natural generalization of matroidal ideals. In the following, we define polymatroidal ideals, and for more detailed information, we refer the reader to [4, 5, 6].

Definition 2.1. Let I be a monomial ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree, and assume that $G(I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I . The ideal I is called *polymatroidal* if the following exchange condition is satisfied: For monomials $u = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n}$ belonging to $G(I)$ and for every i with $a_i > b_i$, one has j with $a_j < b_j$ such that $x_j(u/x_i) \in G(I)$.

Weakly polymatroidal ideals are generalizations of polymatroidal ideals, and they are defined as follows.

Definition 2.2 ([5], Definition 12.7.1). A monomial ideal I of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is called *weakly polymatroidal* if for every two monomials $u = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n}$ in $G(I)$ such that $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_{t-1} = b_{t-1}$ and $a_t > b_t$ for some t , there exists $j > t$ such that $x_t(v/x_j) \in I$.

It is clear from the above definition that every polymatroidal ideal is weakly polymatroidal.

Lemma 2.3. *Let I be a monomial ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\ell((I : x_i)) \leq \ell(I)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for every integer $k \geq 1$, $\mu(I^k) \geq \mu((I : x_i)^k)$, where $\mu(I)$ denotes the number of minimal generators of I . Now assume that I is generated in degree p and $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $0 \leq t \leq s$ such that u_1, \dots, u_t are divisible by x_i and u_{t+1}, \dots, u_s are not divisible by x_i . Let $u'_j = u_j/x_i$ ($1 \leq j \leq t$).

For every integer $k \geq 1$, we define an injective map f from $G((I : x_i)^k)$ to $G(I^k)$, and this completes the proof. In order to do this, let $u \in G((I : x_i)^k)$. Then we may write u as below, where $0 \leq q \leq k$:

$$u = u'_{i_1} \dots u'_{i_q} u_{i_{q+1}} \dots u_{i_k}.$$

Note that $q = kp - \deg(u)$, and therefore q is independent from the above representation. Therefore, we may define

$$f(u) := x_i^q u = u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_q} u_{i_{q+1}} \dots u_{i_k} \in I^k.$$

Since I^k is generated in degree pk , $f(u) \in G(I^k)$. We now prove that f is injective. Assume that there exist $u, v \in G((I : x_i)^k)$ such that $f(u) = f(v)$. Then by definition of f , for every $j \neq i$, we have $\deg_{x_j}(u) = \deg_{x_j}(v)$. Hence, if $\deg_{x_i}(u) > \deg_{x_i}(v)$, then $v|u$ and if $\deg_{x_i}(v) > \deg_{x_i}(u)$, then $u|v$ and in the both cases we derive a contradiction because $u, v \in G((I : x_i)^k)$. Therefore, $\deg_{x_i}(u) = \deg_{x_i}(v)$ and so $u = v$, which implies that f is injective. \square

For proving our main result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then $(I : x_1)$ satisfies the same property.*

Proof. It is clear from the definition that $(I : x_1)$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal. Therefore, we prove that it is generated in a single degree. Suppose that $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I , and let $\deg(u_i) = k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that u_1, \dots, u_t are divisible by x_1 and u_{t+1}, \dots, u_s are not divisible by x_1 , where $1 \leq t \leq s$. Let $v_i = u_i/x_1$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$). We claim that $(I : x_1)$ is generated by v_1, \dots, v_t . In order to prove the claim, let $v \in (I : x_1)$ be a monomial. Then $x_1 v \in I$ and so there exists $1 \leq i \leq s$ in such a way that u_i divides $x_1 v$. If $1 \leq i \leq t$, then v is divisible by v_i and therefore $v \in (v_1, \dots, v_t)$. Therefore, we may assume that $i \geq t+1$. Now u_i is not divisible by x_1 and so $u_i|v$. By Definition 2.2, there exists $j \geq 2$ such that $x_1 u_i/x_j \in I$. Since $\deg(x_1 u_i/x_j) = k$, there exists $1 \leq p \leq t$ such that $u_p = x_1 u_i/x_j$ and hence $v_p = u_i/x_j$ divides v and therefore $v \in (v_1, \dots, v_t)$. This proves the claim and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now in the position to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then we have the following assertions:*

- (i) $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq n - \ell(I) + 1$ and $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$.
- (ii) $\text{depth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$.

Proof. We prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously by induction on n and k , where k is the degree of generators of I . Let $G(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of I , and let $\deg(u_i) = k$. If $n = 1$, then I is a principal ideal, and so we have $\ell(I) = 1$, $\text{sdepth}(I) = 1$, and $\text{depth}(S/I) = \text{sdepth}(S/I) = 0$. Therefore, in this case, the inequalities in (i) and (ii) are trivial. If $k = 1$, then I is a complete intersection and so $\ell(I) = s$. In this case, the inequality in (ii) is trivial, and the inequalities in (i) follow from [9, Theorem 1.1] and [7, Proposition 3.4]. We now consider $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$. Assume that there exists a variable x_j such that

$$x_j \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{Supp}(u_i),$$

where for a monomial $u \in S$, $\text{Supp}(u)$ is the set of variables which divide u . Hence, x_j is regular over S/I and so $\text{depth}(S/I) = \text{depth}(S_j/IS_j) + 1$, where S_j is the

polynomial ring obtained from S by deleting the variable x_j . Therefore, the induction hypothesis on n implies that $\text{depth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$. On the other hand, by [9, Theorem 1.1] and [7, Lemma 3.6], we conclude that $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \text{sdepth}(S_j/IS_j) + 1$ and $\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(IS_j) + 1$. Therefore, using the induction hypothesis on n , we conclude that $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq n - \ell(I) + 1$ and $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$. Therefore, we may assume that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{Supp}(u_i) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}.$$

Let $S' = \mathbb{K}[x_2, \dots, x_n]$, and consider $I' = I \cap S'$ and $I'' = (I : x_1)$. Now $I = I'S' \oplus x_1 I''S$ and $S/I = (S'/I'S') \oplus x_1(S/I'')$ and therefore by the definition of Stanley depth we have

$$(1) \quad \text{sdepth}(I) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}_{S'}(I'S'), \text{sdepth}_S(I'')\}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{\text{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I'S'), \text{sdepth}_S(S/I'')\}.$$

On the other hand, by applying the depth lemma on the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S/(I : x_1) \longrightarrow S/I \longrightarrow S/(I, x_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we conclude that

$$(3) \quad \text{depth}(S/I) \geq \min\{\text{depth}_{S'}(S'/I'S'), \text{depth}_S(S/I'')\}.$$

Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 and the induction hypothesis on k , we now conclude that $\text{depth}_S(S/I'') \geq n - \ell(I)$, $\text{sdepth}_S(I'') \geq n - \ell(I) + 1$, and $\text{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I'S') \geq n - \ell(I)$.

Note that $I'S'$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal of S' which is generated in a single degree. Since

$$x_1 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{Supp}(u_i)$$

and the generators of $I'S'$ are not divisible by x_1 , using [5, Lemma 10.3.19], we conclude that $\ell(I'S') \leq \ell(I) - 1$, and therefore, by our induction hypothesis on n , we conclude that

$$\text{sdepth}_{S'}(I'S') \geq (n-1) - \ell(I'S') + 1 \geq (n-1) - (\ell(I) - 1) + 1 = n - \ell(I) + 1$$

and similarly $\text{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I'S') \geq n - \ell(I)$ and $\text{depth}_{S'}(S'/I'S') \geq n - \ell(I)$. Now the inequalities (1), (2), and (3) complete the proof of the theorem. \square

It is known and easy to prove that $\text{ht}(I) \leq \ell(I)$ for every monomial ideal I . In the following corollary, we give a stronger lower bound for the analytic spread of a weakly polymatroidal ideal which is generated in a single degree.

Corollary 2.6. *Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then*

$$\max\{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(S/I)\} \leq \ell(I).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(S/I)$ be given. By [2, Proposition 1.2.13] we have $\text{depth}(S/I) \leq n - \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$, while by Theorem 2.5 we have $\text{depth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$. This implies that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \ell(I)$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(S/I)$ and completes the proof of the corollary. \square

Let I be a monomial ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. A classical result by Burch [3] says that

$$\min_t \text{depth}(S/I^t) \leq n - \ell(I).$$

By a theorem of Brodmann [1], the quantity $\text{depth}(S/I^t)$ is constant for large t . We call this constant value the *limit depth* of I , and we denote it by $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{depth}(S/I^t)$. Brodmann improved the Burch's inequality by showing that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{depth}(S/I^t) \leq n - \ell(I).$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let I be a polymatroidal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then there exists an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$, I^k and S/I^k satisfy Stanley's conjecture.*

Proof. Note that by [5, Theorem 12.6.3], every power of a polymatroidal ideal is again a polymatroidal ideal. Since every polymatroidal ideal is a weakly polymatroidal ideal which is generated in a single degree, Theorem 2.5 implies that for every $k \geq 1$, $\text{sdepth}(I^k) \geq n - \ell(I^k) + 1 = n - \ell(I) + 1$ and $\text{sdepth}(S/I^k) \geq n - \ell(I^k) = n - \ell(I)$. Now applying Burch's inequality completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.8. Let F be a nonempty subset of $[n]$. We denote by P_F the monomial prime ideal $(x_i \mid i \in F)$. A *transversal polymatroidal ideal* is an ideal I of the form

$$I = P_{F_1} P_{F_2} \dots P_{F_r},$$

where F_1, \dots, F_r is a collection of nonempty subsets of $[n]$ with $r \geq 1$.

It follows from the above definition that the product of transversal polymatroidal ideals is again a transversal polymatroidal ideal and that every transversal polymatroidal ideal is a polymatroidal ideal.

Corollary 2.9. *If I is a transversal polymatroidal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then I and S/I satisfy Stanley's conjecture.*

Proof. Note that by Theorem 2.5, we have $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq n - \ell(I) + 1$ and $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - \ell(I)$. Also, [6, Corollary 3.14] implies that $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - \ell(I)$. Therefore, I and S/I satisfy Stanley's conjecture. \square

One should note that Corollary 2.9 essentially says that if I is a product of some monomial primes, then I and S/I satisfy Stanley's conjecture.

Definition 2.10. Let u be a monomial in $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We denote by $m(u)$ the maximum number j such that $x_j \mid u$. Then a monomial ideal I of S is called a *stable ideal* if for all monomials $u \in I$ and all $i < m(u)$ one has $x_i(u/x_{m(u)}) \in I$.

It is clear from the above definition that every stable ideal is weakly polymatroidal.

Corollary 2.11. *Let I be a stable ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree. Then there exists an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$, I^k and S/I^k satisfy Stanley's conjecture.*

Proof. Since every power of a stable ideal is again stable, Theorem 2.5 implies that for every $k \geq 1$, $\text{sdepth}(I^k) \geq n - \ell(I^k) + 1 = n - \ell(I) + 1$ and $\text{sdepth}(S/I^k) \geq n - \ell(I^k) = n - \ell(I)$. Now applying Burch's inequality completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Part of this work was done while S. Yassemi visited the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (MPIM), Bonn, Germany. He would like to thank the MPIM for sponsoring his visit to Bonn in March–April 2012.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brodmann, The asymptotic nature of the analytic spread, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **86** (1979), no. 1, 35–39.
- [2] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [3] L. Burch, Codimension and analytic spread, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **72** (1972), 369–373.
- [4] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Discrete polymatroids, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **16** (2002), no. 3, 239–268.
- [5] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [6] J. Herzog, A. Rauf, M. Vladioiu, The stable set of associated prime ideals of a polymatroidal ideal, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, to appear.
- [7] J. Herzog, M. Vladioiu, X. Zheng, How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 9, 3151–3169.
- [8] M. R. Pournaki, S. A. Seyed Fakhari, M. Tousi, S. Yassemi, What is ... Stanley depth? *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **56** (2009), no. 9, 1106–1108.
- [9] A. Rauf, Stanley decompositions, pretty clean filtrations and reductions modulo regular elements, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **50(98)** (2007), no. 4, 347–354.
- [10] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **68** (1982), no. 2, 175–193.

M. R. POURNAKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHARIF UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. BOX 11155-9415, TEHRAN, IRAN, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: pournaki@ipm.ir

URL: <http://math.ipm.ac.ir/pournaki/>

S. A. SEYED FAKHARI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHARIF UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P.O. BOX 11155-9415, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: fakhari@ipm.ir

URL: <http://math.ipm.ac.ir/fakhari/>

S. YASSEMI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN, TEHRAN, IRAN, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: yassemi@ipm.ir

URL: <http://math.ipm.ac.ir/yassemi/>